

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/929,092

Applicant(s)

BABCHIN ET AL.

Examiner

Kishor Mayekar

Art Unit

1753

All Participants:(1) Kishor Mayekar.(2) Gordon Freedman.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 June 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic



Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Called the Attorney that the original specification does not include "Brief Description of the Drawings". Later in the day, the Attorney faxed to the Examiner a copy of the "Brief Description of the Drawings" to be entered by an examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)